



TITLE:

# Homogeneity of the pure state space for the separable nuclear $C^*$ -algebras (Theory of Operator Algebras and its Applications)

AUTHOR(S):

岸本, 晶孝; 境, 正一郎

---

CITATION:

岸本, 晶孝 ...[et al]. Homogeneity of the pure state space for the separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras (Theory of Operator Algebras and its Applications). 数理解析研究所講究録 2002, 1250: 26-41

ISSUE DATE:

2002-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/41783>

RIGHT:

# Homogeneity of the pure state space for the separable nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

Akitaka Kishimoto and Shôichirô Sakai

April 2001

## Abstract

We prove that the pure state space is homogeneous under the action of the group of asymptotically inner automorphisms for all the separable simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. If simplicity is not assumed for the  $C^*$ -algebras, the set of pure states whose GNS representations are faithful is homogeneous for the above action.

## 1 Introduction

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $A$  is *asymptotically inner* if there is a continuous family  $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  in the group  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  of unitaries in  $A$  (or  $A + \mathbb{C}1$  if  $A$  is non-unital) such that  $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_t$ ; we denote by  $\text{AIInn}(A)$  the group of asymptotically inner automorphisms of  $A$ , which is a normal subgroup of the group of approximately inner automorphisms. Note that each  $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$  leaves each (closed two-sided) ideal of  $A$  invariant. It is shown, in [15, 1, 11], for a large class of separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras that if  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are pure states of  $A$  such that the GNS representations associated with  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  have the same kernel, then there is an  $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$  such that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$ . We shall show in this paper that this is the case for all separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras; in particular the pure state space of a separable simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is homogeneous under the action of  $\text{AIInn}(A)$ . We do not know of a single example of a separable  $C^*$ -algebra which does not have this property. See [8] for some problems on this and see 2.4 and 2.5 for remarks on the non-separable case.

Choi and Effros [5] have shown that  $A$  is nuclear if and only if there is a net of pairs  $(\sigma_\nu, \tau_\nu)$  of completely positive (CP) contractons such that  $\lim \tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(x) = x$ ,  $x \in A$ , where

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu} A$$

and  $N_\nu$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. When  $A$  is a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$  is nuclear if and only if  $A + \mathbb{C}1$  is nuclear [5]. If  $A$  is unital, we may assume that both  $\sigma_\nu$  and  $\tau_\nu$  are unit-preserving. We refer to [3, 4] for some other facts on nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. We also quote [13] for a review on the subject.

Our proof of the homogeneity is a combination of the techniques leading up to the above result from [5] and the techniques from [11]. In section 2 we shall show how the homogeneity follows from inductive use of Lemma 2.1 (or 2.2), whose conclusion is very similar to the properties already used in [11]; this part follows closely [11] and so the proof will be sketchy. In section 3 we shall prove Lemma 2.1 from another technical lemma, Lemma 3.1, which shows some amenability of the nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras; this is the arguments often used for individual examples treated in [11] and so the proof will be again sketchy. Then we will give a proof of Lemma 3.1, which constitutes the main body of this paper and uses the results and techniques from [5].

We will conclude this paper, following [11], by generalizing Lemma 3.1 and then extend the main result, Theorem 2.3, to show that  $\text{AInn}(A)$  acts on the pure state space of  $A$  *strongly transitively*. See Theorem 3.8 for details.

## 2 Homogeneity

We first give a main technical lemma, whose conclusion is a slightly weaker version of Property 2.6 in [11]. We will give a proof in the next section.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $A$  be a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $A$ , any pure state  $\omega$  of  $A$  with  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $A$  and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying: If  $\varphi$  is a pure state of  $A$  such that  $\varphi \sim \omega$ , and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

*then there is a continuous path  $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $\varphi = \omega \text{Ad } u_1$ , and*

$$\|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In the above statement,  $\pi_\omega$  is the GNS representation of  $A$  associated with the state  $\omega$ ;  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$  is the Hilbert space for this representation;  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ ;  $\varphi \sim \omega$  means that  $\pi_\varphi$  is equivalent to  $\pi_\omega$ . We could also impose the extra condition that the length of  $(u_t)$  is smaller than  $\pi + \epsilon$  for the choice of the path  $(u_t)$ ; see Property 8.1 in [11].

The following is an easy consequence:

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $A$  be a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $A$ , any pure state  $\omega$  of  $A$  with  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $A$  and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying: If  $\varphi$  is a pure state of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$ , and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

*then for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $A$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  there is a continuous path  $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } u_1(x)| &< \epsilon', & x \in \mathcal{F}', \\ \|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Given  $(\mathcal{F}, \omega, \epsilon)$ , choose  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  as in the previous lemma. Let  $\varphi$  be a pure state of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$  and

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta/2, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be a finite subset of  $A$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  with  $\epsilon' < \delta/2$ . We can mimic  $\varphi$  as a vector state through  $\pi_\omega$ ; by Kadison's transitivity there is a  $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } v(x)| < \epsilon', \quad x \in \mathcal{F}' \cup \mathcal{G},$$

(see 2.3 of [11]). Since  $|\omega \text{Ad } v(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have, by applying Lemma 2.1 to the pair  $\omega$  and  $\omega \text{Ad } v$ , a continuous path  $(u_t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \text{Ad } v &= \omega \text{Ad } u_1, \\ \|\text{Ad } u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\varphi(x) - \omega \text{Ad } u_1(x)| < \epsilon'$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{F}'$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

We shall now turn to the main result stated in the introduction. We denote by  $\text{AInn}_0(A)$  the set of  $\alpha \in \text{AInn}(A)$  which has a continuous family  $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  with  $u_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha = \lim \text{Ad } u_t$ ;  $\text{AInn}_0(A)$  can be smaller than  $\text{AInn}(A)$  (e.g.,  $\text{AInn}_0(A)$  may not contain  $\text{Inn}(A)$ ; see [10]).

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $A$  be a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are pure states of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$  such that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Once we have Lemma 2.2, we can prove this in the same way as 2.5 of [11]. We shall only give an outline here.

Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be pure states of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$ .

If  $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) \neq (0)$ , then  $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \supset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1})$  and  $\pi_{\omega_1}$  is equivalent to  $\pi_{\omega_2}$ . Then by Kadison's transitivity (see, e.g., 1.21.16 of [17]), there is a continuous path  $(u_t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$  and  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_1$ .

Suppose that  $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) = (0)$ , which also implies that  $\pi_{\omega_2}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}) = (0)$ .

Let  $(x_n)$  be a dense sequence in  $A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{x_1\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  (or  $\epsilon = 1$ ). Let  $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$  be the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \omega_1, \epsilon/2)$  as in Lemma 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_1$ . For this  $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$  we choose a continuous path  $(u_{1t})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_{1,0} = 1$  and

$$|\omega_1(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x)| < \delta_1, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{1,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2\}$  and let  $(\mathcal{G}_2, \delta_2)$  be the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_2, \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}, 2^{-2}\epsilon)$  as in Lemma 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_2 \supset \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\delta_2 < \delta_1$ . By 2.2 there is a continuous path  $(u_{2t})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_{2,0} = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{2t}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-1}\epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ |\omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x) - \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x)| &< \delta_2, & x \in \mathcal{G}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_3 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{2,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $(\mathcal{G}_3, \delta_3)$  be the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_3, \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}, 2^{-3}\epsilon)$  as in 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_3 \supset \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$  and  $\delta_3 < \delta_2$ . By 2.2 there is a continuous path  $(u_{3t})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_{3,0} = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } u_{3t}(x) - x\| &< 2^{-2}\epsilon, & x \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ |\omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1})(x)| &< \delta_3, & x \in \mathcal{G}_3. \end{aligned}$$

We shall repeat this process.

Assume that we have constructed  $\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n$ , and  $(u_{n,t})$  inductively. In particular if  $n$  is even,

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{x_i, \text{Ad}(u_{n-1,1}^* u_{n-3,1}^* \cdots u_{1,1}^*)(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and  $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$  is the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_n, \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1}), 2^{-n}\epsilon)$  as in 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_n \supset \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\delta_n < \delta_{n-1}$ . And  $(u_{n,t})$  is given by 2.2 for  $(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1} \cdots u_{n-2,1}), 2^{-n+1}\epsilon)$  and for  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\epsilon' = \delta_n$  and it satisfies

$$|\omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1}u_{4,1} \cdots u_{n,1})(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1})(x)| < \delta_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_n.$$

We define continuous paths  $(v_t)$  and  $(w_t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  with  $t \in [0, \infty)$  by: For  $t \in [n, n+1]$

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{2n-1,1}u_{2n+1,t-n}, \\ w_t &= u_{2,1}u_{4,1} \cdots u_{2n-2,1}u_{2n+2,t-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since  $\|\text{Ad } u_{nt}(x) - x\| < 2^{-n+1}\epsilon$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ , we can show that  $\text{Ad } v_t$  (resp.  $\text{Ad } w_t$ ) converges to an automorphism  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $\omega_1\beta = \omega_2\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{AIInn}_0(A)$  and  $\text{AIInn}_0(A)$  is a group, this will complete the proof. See the proofs of 2.5 and 2.8 of [11] for details.  $\square$

The notion of asymptotical innerness for automorphisms may be appropriate only for separable  $C^*$ -algebras. Because any  $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$  can be obtained as the limit of a sequence in  $\text{Inn}(A)$ , not just as the limit of a net there. Hence the following remark will not be a surprise; it may only suggest that we should take  $\overline{\text{Inn}}(A)$  or something bigger than  $\text{AIInn}(A)$  in place of  $\text{AIInn}(A)$ , in formulating 2.3 for non-separable  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Remark 2.4** There is a unital simple non-separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the pure states space of  $A$  is not homogeneous under the action of  $\text{AIInn}(A)$ .

We can construct such an example as follows. Let  $A$  be a unital simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\Lambda$  an uncountable set. For each finite subset  $F$  of  $\Lambda$  we set  $A_F = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} A_i$  with  $A_i \equiv A$  and take the natural inductive limit  $A_\Lambda$  of the net  $(A_F)$ . Since  $A_F$  is nuclear, it follows that  $A_\Lambda$  is nuclear.

For each  $X \subset \Lambda$  we define  $A_X$  to be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A_\Lambda$  generated by  $A_F$  with finite  $F \subset X$ . Note that for each  $x \in A_\Lambda$  there is a countable  $X \subset \Lambda$  such that  $x \in A_X$ .

Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{U}(A_\Lambda)$  such that  $\text{Ad } u_n$  converges to  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A_\Lambda)$  in the point-norm topology. Since there is a countable subset  $X_n \subset \Lambda$  such that  $u_n \in A_{X_n}$ ,  $\alpha$  is

non-trivial only on  $A_X$ , where  $X = \cup_n X_n$  is countable. Thus any  $\alpha \in \text{AInn}(A_\Lambda)$  has the above property of *countable support*.

For each  $i \in \Lambda$  let  $\omega_i$  and  $\varphi_i$  be pure states of  $A_i = A$  such that  $\omega_i \neq \varphi_i$  and let  $\omega = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} \omega_i$  and  $\varphi = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} \varphi_i$ . Then it follows that  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  are pure states of  $A_\Lambda$  and that  $\omega \neq \varphi\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in \text{AInn}(A_\Lambda)$ . Hence  $A_\Lambda$  serves as an example for the above remark.

In this case, however, we have an  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Inn}}(A_\Lambda)$  such that  $\omega = \varphi\alpha$  (since this is the case for each pair  $\omega_i, \varphi_i$  from 2.3) and it may be the case that the pure state space of  $A_\Lambda$  is homogeneous under the action of  $\overline{\text{Inn}}(A_\Lambda)$ .

**Remark 2.5** There is a unital simple non-separable non-nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that the pure state space of  $A$  is not homogeneous under the action of  $\text{Aut}(A)$ .

There are plenty of such  $C^*$ -algebras at hand. Let  $A$  be a factor of type  $\text{II}_1$  or type  $\text{III}$  with separable predual  $A_*$ . Then  $A$  is a unital simple non-separable non-nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra (see, e.g., [13] for non-nuclearity). Since  $A$  contains a  $C^*$ -subalgebra isomorphic to  $C_b(\mathbb{N}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  has cardinality  $2^c$ , the pure state space of  $A$  has cardinality (at least)  $2^c$ , where  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the continuum. (We owe this argument to J. Anderson.) On the other hand any  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$  corresponds to an isometry on the predual  $A_*$ , a separable Banach space. Thus, since the set of bounded operators on a separable Banach space has cardinality  $c$ ,  $\text{Aut}(A)$  has cardinality (at most)  $c$ . Hence the pure state space of  $A$  cannot be homogeneous under the action of  $\text{Aut}(A)$ .

We note in passing that  $\text{AInn}(A) = \text{Inn}(A)$  for any factor  $A$  (or any quotient of a factor), since any convergent sequence in  $\text{Aut}(A)$  with the point-norm topology converges in norm [9]. We also note that  $\overline{\text{Inn}}(A) = \text{Inn}(A)$  for any full factor [6, 16], since then  $\text{Inn}(A)$  is closed in  $\text{Aut}(A)$  with the topology of point-norm convergence in  $A_*$  and so is closed in  $\text{Aut}(A)$  with the topology of point-norm convergence in  $A$ .

### 3 Proof of Lemma 2.1

If  $A$  is a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$  is nuclear if and only if the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A + \mathbb{C}1$  obtained by adjoining a unit is nuclear. Hence to prove Lemma 2.1 we may suppose that  $A$  is unital. In the following  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  denotes the connected component of 1 in the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  of  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $A$  be a unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ ,  $\pi$  an irreducible representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $E$  a finite-dimensional projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exist an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $M_{1n}(A)$  such that  $xx^* \leq 1$  and  $\pi(xx^*)E = E$  for  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ , and for any  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a bijection  $f$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  onto  $\mathcal{G}$  with*

$$\|ux - f(x)\| < \epsilon.$$

In the above statement,  $M_{1n}(A)$  denotes the 1 by  $n$  matrices over  $A$ ; if  $u \in A$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in M_{1n}(A)$ ,

$$xx^* = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^* \in A,$$

$$ux = (ux_1, ux_2, \dots, ux_n) \in M_{1n}(A).$$

We shall first show that Lemma 3.1 implies Lemma 2.1.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite subset of  $A$ ,  $\omega$  a pure state of  $A$  with  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  linearly spans  $A$ , we may suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ . For  $\pi = \pi_\omega$  and the projection  $E$  onto the subspace  $\mathbf{C}\Omega_\omega$ , we choose an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $M_{1n}(A)$  as in Lemma 3.1.

We take the finite subset

$$\{x_i x_j^* \mid x \in \mathcal{G}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

for the subset  $\mathcal{G}$  required in Lemma 2.1. We will choose  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small later. Suppose that we are given a unit vector  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$  satisfying

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

for any  $x \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$ . Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|\pi(x_j^*)\Omega\|^2 = \langle \pi(xx^*)\Omega, \Omega \rangle = 1,$$

which implies that  $|\langle \pi(xx^*)\eta, \eta \rangle - 1| < n\delta$ . Thus the two finite sets of vectors  $S_\Omega = \{\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mid i = 1, \dots, n; x \in \mathcal{G}\}$  and  $S_\eta = \{\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mid i = 1, \dots, n; x \in \mathcal{G}\}$  have similar geometric properties in  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$  if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. Hence we are in a situation where we can apply 3.3 of [11].

Let us describe how we proceed from here in a simplified case. Suppose that the linear span  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  of  $S_\Omega$  is orthogonal to the linear span  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$  of  $S_\eta$  and that the map  $\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\eta$  and  $\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\Omega$  extends to a unitary on  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$ ; in particular we have assumed that  $\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle = \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle$  for all  $i, j$ . Since  $U$  is a self-adjoint unitary,  $F \equiv (1 - U)/2$  is a projection and satisfies that  $e^{i\pi F} = U$  on the finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$ . By Kadison's transitivity we choose an  $h \in A$  such that  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and  $\pi(h)|_{\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta} = F$ . We set

$$\bar{h} = |\mathcal{G}|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}} x h x^*,$$

where

$$x h x^* = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i h x_i^*.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(xhx^*)(\Omega - \eta) &= \sum \pi(x_i)F\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta), \\
&= \sum \pi(x_i)\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta) \\
&= \Omega - \eta
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\pi(xhx^*)(\Omega + \eta) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\pi(\bar{h})(\Omega - \eta) = \Omega - \eta, \quad \pi(\bar{h})(\Omega + \eta) = 0.$$

Hence we have that  $e^{i\pi\pi(\bar{h})}$  switches  $\Omega$  and  $\eta$ .

On the other hand for  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a bijection  $f$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  onto  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\|ux - f(x)\| < \epsilon$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since

$$u\bar{h}u^* - \bar{h} = |\mathcal{G}|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}} \{(ux - f(x))hx^*u^* + f(x)h(x^*u^* - f(x)^*)\},$$

it follows that  $\|u\bar{h}u^* - \bar{h}\| < 2\epsilon$ . Thus the path  $(e^{it\pi\bar{h}})_{t \in [0,1]}$  almost commutes with  $\mathcal{F}$  and is what is desired. (Since what is required is  $\omega_\eta = \omega \text{Ad } e^{i\pi\bar{h}}$ , we may take the path  $(e^{it\pi(\bar{h}-1/2)})$ , whose length is  $\pi/2$ .)

If  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$  is not orthogonal to  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ , we still find a unit vector  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$  such that

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\zeta, \pi(x_j^*)\zeta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

and such that  $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$  is orthogonal to both  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ . Here we use the assumption that  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ . Then we combine the path of unitaries sending  $\eta$  to  $\zeta$  and then the path sending  $\zeta$  to  $\Omega$  to obtain the desired path.

The above arguments can be made rigorous in the general case; see [11] for details.  $\square$

We will now turn to the proof of Lemma 3.1, by first giving a series of lemmas. The following is an easy version of 3.4 of [2].

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $E$  a finite-dimensional projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a finite subset of  $A$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there is a finite-rank self-adjoint operator  $H$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $E \leq H \leq 1$  and*

$$\|[\pi(x), H]\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

*Proof.* We define finite-dimensional subspaces  $V_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows:  $V_1 = E\mathcal{H}$  and if  $V_k$  is defined then  $V_{k+1}$  is the linear span of  $V_k$  and  $xV_k, x^*V_k$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , where we have omitted  $\pi$ . Then  $(V_k)$  is increasing and

$$x(V_{k+1} \ominus V_k) \subset V_{k+2} \ominus V_{k-1}, \quad x \in \mathcal{F},$$



with  $V_0 = 0$ . Denoting by  $E_k$  the projection onto  $V_k$  we define

$$H_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E_k.$$

Then  $E \leq H_n \leq E_n$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have, for  $\xi \in V_{k+1} \ominus V_k$ , that

$$(H_n x - x H_n) \xi = (H_n - \frac{n-k}{n}) x \xi \in V_{k+2} \ominus V_{k-1}.$$

Hence for  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$(H_n x - x H_n) \xi = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (H_n x - x H_n) (E_{k+1} - E_k) \xi = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (H_n - \frac{n-k}{n}) x (E_{k+1} - E_k) \xi,$$

and thus, by splitting the above sum into three terms, each of which is the sum over  $k \bmod 3 = i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , and estimating each, we reach

$$\|(H_n x - x H_n) \xi\| \leq \frac{3}{n} \|x\| \|\xi\|.$$

This implies that  $\|[H_n, x]\| \leq 3/n$  for  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

If  $\pi$  is a representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote by  $\pi_n$  the representation of  $M_n \otimes A = M_n(A)$ , the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix algebra over  $A$ , on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . If  $x_i \in A$ , then  $x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n$  is naturally a diagonal element of  $M_n(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $E$  a finite-rank projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that each  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  has a diagonal element  $\hat{u} = u_1 \oplus u_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus u_n$  in  $\mathcal{U}_0(M_n(A))$  satisfying  $u_1 = u$ ,  $u_n = 1$ , and*

$$\|u_i - u_{i+1}\| < \epsilon/2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

*Furthermore there exists a finite-rank projection  $F$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$  such that  $F \geq E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$  and*

$$\|[\pi_n(\hat{u}), F]\| < \epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  is path-wise connected, the first part is immediate.

Let  $\delta > 0$ , which will be specified sufficiently small later. By the previous lemma we choose a finite-rank self-adjoint operator  $H_1$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $E \leq H_1 \leq 1$  and

$$\|[H_1, u_i]\| < \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}$$

where we have omitted  $\pi$ . Let  $E_1$  be the support projection of  $H_1$  and let  $H_2$  be a finite-rank self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $E_1 \leq H_2 \leq 1$ , and

$$\|[H_2, u_i]\| < \delta, \quad i = 2, 3, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

In this way we define  $H_3, H_4, \dots, H_{n-1}$  and set  $H_n = E_{n-1}$ , the support projection of  $H_{n-1}$ . We define an operator  $F$  on  $C^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$  as a tri-diagonal matrix as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i,i} &= H_i - H_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ F_{i,i+1} &= F_{i+1,i} = \sqrt{H_i(1 - H_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_0 = 0$ . Noting that  $H_i H_{i-1} = H_{i-1}$  and  $H_1 \geq E$ , it is easy to check that  $F$  is a finite-rank projection and  $F$  dominates  $E \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$ . For  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{u}F - F\hat{u})_{i,i} &= [u_i, H_i] - [u_i, H_{i-1}], \\ (\hat{u}F - F\hat{u})_{i,i+1} &= [u_i, \sqrt{H_i(1 - H_i)}] + \sqrt{H_i(1 - H_i)}(u_i - u_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $\|\sqrt{H_i(1 - H_i)}\| \leq 1/2$ , the norm of  $[\hat{u}, F]$  is smaller than

$$\epsilon/2 + 2\delta + 2 \max_i \|[u_i, \sqrt{H_i(1 - H_i)}]\|,$$

which can be made smaller than  $\epsilon$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  by choosing  $\delta$  small.  $\square$

When  $E$  is a projection on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(E\mathcal{H})$  the bounded operators on the subspace  $E\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $A$  be a unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\pi$  an irreducible representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $E$  a finite-rank projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the identity map on  $A$  can be approximated by a net of compositions of CP maps*

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu} N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu \dagger \tau''_\nu} A,$$

where  $N_\nu$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra,  $(E_\nu)$  is an increasing net of finite-rank projections on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $E \leq E_\nu$  and  $\lim E_\nu = 1$ ,  $\sigma'_\nu$  and  $\sigma''_\nu$  are unital CP maps such that  $\sigma''_\nu(x) = E_\nu \pi(x) E_\nu$ ,  $x \in A$ , and  $\tau_\nu$  is a unital CP map such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \tau'_\nu(a) E &= 0, & a &\in N_\nu, \\ E \pi \tau''_\nu(b) E &= E b E, & b &\in \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* There is a non-degenerate representation  $\rho$  of  $A$  such that  $\rho$  is disjoint from  $\pi$  and  $\rho \oplus \pi$  is a universal representation, i.e.,  $\rho \oplus \pi$  extends to a faithful representation of  $A^{**}$ . Note that  $(\rho \oplus \pi)(A^{**}) = \rho(A)'' \oplus \pi(A)''$ .

If the nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is separable,  $A^{**}$  is semidiscrete [3], which in turn implies that  $\mathcal{R} = \rho(A)''$  is semidiscrete. Hence the identity map on  $\mathcal{R}$  can be approximated, in the point-weak\* topology, by a net  $(\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu)$  of CP maps on  $\mathcal{R}$ , where  $\sigma'_\nu$  (resp.  $\tau'_\nu$ ) is a weak\*-continuous unital CP map of  $\mathcal{R}$  into a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $N_\nu$  (resp. of  $N_\nu$  into  $\mathcal{R}$ ). By denoting  $\sigma'_\nu \rho$  by  $\sigma'_\nu$  again, we obtain a net of diagrams

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau'_\nu} \mathcal{R}$$

such that  $\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu(x)$  converges to  $\rho(x)$  in the weak\* topology for any  $x \in A$ .

If  $A$  is separable or not, we have the characterization of nuclearity in terms of CP maps [5]; there is a net of diagrams of unital CP maps:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau'_\nu} A$$

such that  $N_\nu$  is finite-dimensional and  $\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu(x)$  converges to  $x$  in norm for any  $x \in A$ . By denoting  $\rho \tau'_\nu$  by  $\tau'_\nu$  again, we obtain a net of diagrams:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma'_\nu} N_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau'_\nu} \mathcal{R}$$

as above; actually  $\tau'_\nu \sigma'_\nu(x)$  converges to  $\rho(x)$  in norm for any  $x \in A$ .

Since  $\pi(A)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is semidiscrete, there is such a net of CP maps on  $\pi(A)''$  as for  $\mathcal{R}$  as well. But we shall construct one in a specific way.

Let  $(E_\nu)$  be an increasing net of finite-rank projections on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $E \leq E_\nu$  and  $\lim E_\nu = 1$ . We define  $\sigma''_\nu : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$  by  $\sigma''_\nu(x) = E_\nu x E_\nu$  and  $\tau''_\nu : \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  by  $\tau''_\nu(a) = a + \omega(a)(1 - E_\nu)$ , where  $\omega$  is a vector state, defined through a fixed unit vector in  $E\mathcal{H}$ . Then it is immediate that  $(\sigma''_\nu, \tau''_\nu)$  has the desired properties. By denoting  $\sigma''_\nu \pi$  by  $\sigma''_\nu$  again, we obtain a net of diagrams:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma''_\nu} \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau''_\nu} \pi(A)''$$

such that  $\tau''_\nu \sigma''_\nu(x)$  converges to  $\pi(x)$  in the weak\* topology for any  $x \in A$ .

We may suppose that we use the same directed set  $\{\nu\}$  for both  $(\sigma'_\nu, \tau'_\nu)$  and  $(\sigma''_\nu, \tau''_\nu)$ . We set  $\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu$ ,  $M_\nu = N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$ , and  $\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu$ . By identifying  $A^{**}$  with  $\mathcal{R} \oplus \pi(A)''$ , we have that

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} M_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu} A^{**}$$

approximate the identity map on  $A$  (in the point-weak\* topology), i.e.,  $\tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(x)$  converges to  $x$  in the weak\* topology for any  $x \in A$ .

Following [5] we approximate  $\tau_\nu$  by unital CP maps of  $M_\nu$  into  $A$ . This is done as follows. If  $(e_{ij}^k)$  denotes a family of matrix units of  $M_\nu$ ,  $\tau_\nu$  is uniquely determined by the positive element  $\Lambda_\nu = (\tau_\nu(e_{ij}^k))$  in  $M_\nu \otimes A^{**}$  (2.1 of [5]). Since  $M_\nu \otimes A$  is dense in  $M_\nu \otimes A^{**}$  in the weak\* topology, we can, by general theory, approximate  $\Lambda_\nu$  by positive elements in  $M_\nu \otimes A$ , in the weak\* topology, which then determine CP maps of  $M_\nu$  into  $A$  (see the proof of 3.1 of [5]). In particular we approximate  $\tau'_\nu : N_\nu \rightarrow A^{**}$  by CP maps  $\psi' : N_\nu \rightarrow A$  satisfying

$$\pi \psi'(a)E = 0, \quad a \in N_\nu,$$

and  $\tau''_\nu : \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow A^{**}$  by CP maps  $\psi'' : \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow A$  satisfying

$$E \pi \psi''(a)E = EaE, \quad a \in \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}).$$

This is indeed possible as shown by using Kadison's transitivity. Moreover, by taking convex combinations of  $\psi' + \psi''$ , we may assume that  $h = \psi'(1) + \psi''(1)$  is close to  $1 \in A$

in norm. By replacing  $\psi'$  by  $h^{-1/2}\psi'(\cdot)h^{-1/2}$  etc. we may suppose that  $\psi = \psi' + \psi''$  is a unital CP map. Since  $hE = E = Eh$ , this does not destroy the above properties imposed on  $\psi'$  and  $\psi''$ .

Restricting  $\sigma_\nu$  to  $A$  and retaining the same symbol  $\tau$  for the CP maps into  $A$  (instead of  $\psi$ ), we now have a net of the compositions of unital CP maps:

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu} M_\nu \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu} A,$$

which approximates the identity map in the point-weak topology.

By taking convex combinations of the above CP maps, we will obtain such a net which now approximates the identity map in the point-norm topology. For example, if  $(\lambda_\nu)$  is such that  $\lambda_\nu \geq 0$ ,  $S = \{\nu \mid \lambda_\nu > 0\}$  is finite, and  $\sum_\nu \lambda_\nu = 1$ , then we define

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} \left( \bigoplus_{\nu \in S} N_\nu \right) \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_{\nu_0} \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\psi} A,$$

where  $\nu_0$  is such that  $\nu_0 \geq \nu$ ,  $\nu \in S$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= (\bigoplus_{\nu \in S} \sigma'_\nu) \oplus \sigma''_{\nu_0}, \\ \psi &= \left( \sum_{\nu \in S} \lambda_\nu \tau'_\nu \right) + \left( \sum_{\nu \in S} \lambda_\nu \tau''_\nu p_\nu \right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $p_\nu : \mathcal{B}(E_{\nu_0} \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$  defined by the multiplication of  $E_\nu$  on both sides. By doing so, the properties  $\pi\psi'(a)E = 0$  and  $E\pi\psi''(a)E = EaE$  are still retained, where  $\psi'$  is the first component of  $\psi$  etc. See [5] for technical details.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $\sigma_\nu, \tau_\nu, M_\nu = N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$  be as in 3.4. For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  satisfies that  $\|u - \tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(u)\| < \delta$ , there is a  $v \in \mathcal{U}(M_\nu)$  with  $\|u - \tau_\nu(v)\| < \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is represented on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Since  $\tau = \tau_\nu$  is a unital CP map, by Steinspring's theorem there is a representation  $\phi$  of  $M = M_\nu$  on a Hilbert space  $K$  which contains  $H$  such that  $\tau(a) = P\phi(a)P$ ,  $a \in M$ , where  $P$  is the projection onto  $H$ .

If  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  satisfies that  $\|u - \tau\sigma(u)\| < \delta$ , where  $\sigma = \sigma_\nu$  etc., it follows that

$$\tau(\sigma(u)\sigma(u)^*) = P\phi\sigma(u)\phi\sigma(u)^*P \geq P\phi\sigma(u)P\phi\sigma(u)^*P \geq (1 - 2\delta)P.$$

Let  $b$  denote  $\sigma(u)\sigma(u)^*$ . Since  $P\phi(b)(1 - P)\phi(b)P = P\phi(b^2)P - (P\phi(b)P)^2 \leq P - (1 - 2\delta)^2P$ , we have that  $\|P\phi(b)(1 - P)\| \leq 2\delta^{1/2}$ . Since  $[P, \phi(b)] = P\phi(b)(1 - P) - (1 - P)\phi(b)P$ , we also have that  $\|[P, \phi(b)]\| \leq 2\delta^{1/2}$ . For any  $a \in M$  it follows that  $\|\tau(ba) - \tau(b)\tau(a)\| \leq 2\delta^{1/2}\|a\|$  and  $\|\tau(ba) - \tau(a)\| \leq 2(\delta^{1/2} + \delta)\|a\|$ .

If  $e$  is the spectral projection of  $b$  corresponding to  $[\lambda, 1]$  for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then  $b \leq \lambda(1 - e) + be$  and

$$(1 - 2\delta)P \leq P\phi(b)P \leq \lambda P - \lambda P\phi(e)P + P\phi(be)P \leq \lambda P - \lambda P\phi(e)P + P\phi(e)P + 2(\delta + \delta^{1/2})P.$$

Let  $\lambda = 1 - 4\delta - 2\delta^{1/2} - \delta^{1/4}$ . Then the above inequality implies that

$$\delta^{1/4}P \leq (4\delta + 2\delta^{1/2} + \delta^{1/4})P\phi(e)P,$$

or  $\|P - P\phi(e)P\| \leq 4\delta^{3/4} + 2\delta^{1/4}$ . Hence we have that  $\|\tau(e) - 1\| < 3\delta^{1/4}$  and  $\|\tau(be) - 1\| < 3\delta^{1/4}$  for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $be \leq (be)^{1/2} \leq e$ ,  $\tau((be)^{1/2})$  is also close to 1. Since  $\|\tau(e) - \tau((be)^{1/2})\tau((be)^{-1/2})\| \leq \|P\phi((be)^{1/2})(1 - P)\| \|(be)^{-1/2}\| < 3\delta^{1/8}$ ,  $\tau((be)^{-1/2})$  is also close to 1 (up to the order of  $\delta^{1/8}$  in this rough estimate); here  $(be)^{-1/2}$  is the inverse of  $(be)^{1/2}$  in  $eMe$ .

We now define a unitary  $v$  in  $M$  by  $v = (be)^{-1/2}\sigma(u) + y$ , where  $y$  satisfies that  $yy^* = 1 - e$  and  $y^*y = 1 - \sigma(u)^*(be)^{-1}\sigma(u)$ . Since  $(be)^{-1/2}\sigma(u)\sigma(u)^*(be)^{-1/2} = e$ ,  $v$  is indeed a unitary. Since  $\tau(y)\tau(y^*) \leq \tau(yy^*) = \tau(1 - e) \leq 3\delta^{1/4}$ ,  $\|y\|$  is of the order of  $\delta^{1/8}$ . Since  $\tau((be)^{-1/2}\sigma(u))$  is close to  $\tau((be)^{-1/2})\tau(\sigma(u))$  up to the order of  $\delta^{1/16}$ , we can conclude that  $\|\tau(v) - \tau(\sigma(u))\|$  is close to zero up to the order of  $\delta^{1/16}$ .  $\square$

When  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $S \subset X$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we call  $S$  an  $\epsilon$ -net if  $\cup_{x \in S} B(x, \epsilon) = X$ , where  $B(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ . When  $X$  has a finite  $\epsilon$ -net, we denote by  $N(X, \epsilon)$  the minimum of orders over all the finite  $\epsilon$ -nets. If  $X$  is compact, then  $N(X, \epsilon)$  is well-defined for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are  $\epsilon$ -nets consisting  $N(X, \epsilon)$  points, then there is a bijection  $f$  of  $S_1$  onto  $S_2$  such that  $d(x, f(x)) < 2\epsilon$ ,  $x \in S_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-empty subset of  $S_1$  and set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{y \in S_2 \mid B(y, \epsilon) \cap \cup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} B(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since  $\cup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} B(x, \epsilon) \subset \cup_{x \in \mathcal{G}} B(x, \epsilon)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{G} \cup S_1 \setminus \mathcal{F}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -net and that the order of  $\mathcal{G}$  is greater than or equal to the order of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then by the matching theorem we can find a bijection  $f$  of  $S_1$  onto  $S_2$  such that  $f(x) \in \{y \in S_2 \mid B(x, \epsilon) \cap B(y, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset\}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.1* Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of the unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $E$  a finite-rank projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

We apply Lemma 3.3 to this situation. Thus there exist an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a finite-rank projection  $F$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$F \geq E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, \\ \|[F, \pi_n(\hat{u})]\| < \epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F},$$

where  $\pi_n$  denotes the natural extension of  $\pi$  to a representation of  $M_n \otimes A$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ ; hereafter we shall simply denote  $\pi_n$  by  $\pi$ . Let  $F_0$  be a finite-rank projection on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $F \leq 1 \otimes F_0$ .

By Lemma 3.4 we find a net of diagrams

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu} N_\nu \oplus \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu} A$$

with  $F_0$  in place of  $E$  as described there; in particular  $F_0 \leq E_\nu$ . We take tensor product of these diagrams with  $M_n$ ; denoting  $\text{id}_n \otimes \sigma_\nu$  by the same symbol  $\sigma_\nu$  etc., we obtain

$$M_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu \oplus \sigma''_\nu} M_n \otimes N_\nu \oplus M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tau_\nu = \tau'_\nu + \tau''_\nu} M_n \otimes A.$$

Noting that  $F \in M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes E_\nu \mathcal{H})$ , we denote

$$V_\nu = \mathcal{U}(M_n \otimes N_\nu \oplus M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H}) \cap \{F\}'),$$

which is a compact group. Since  $(1 \otimes F_0)\pi\tau'_\nu(v_1) = 0$  and  $(1 \otimes F_0)\pi\tau''_\nu(v_2)(1 \otimes F_0) = (1 \otimes F_0)v_2(1 \otimes F_0)$  for  $v = v_1 \oplus v_2 \in V_\nu$ , we have that for each  $v \in V_\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} F\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v^*))F &= F(1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v^*))(1 \otimes F_0)F, \\ &= F(1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau'_\nu(v_2)\tau''_\nu(v_2^*))(1 \otimes F_0)F, \\ &= F(1 \otimes F_0)v_2(1 \otimes F_0)v_2^*(1 \otimes F_0)F \\ &\quad + F(1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau'_\nu(v_2))(1 \otimes (1 - F_0))\pi(\tau''_\nu(v_2^*))(1 \otimes F_0)F. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term is  $F$  as  $[F, v] = 0$ , the second term must be zero. Hence it follows that

$$F\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v)^*)F = F,$$

which implies that

$$\pi(\tau_\nu(v)\tau_\nu(v)^*)F = F.$$

By multiplying  $E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$  from the right we have that

$$\sum_{j,k} \pi(\tau_\nu(v_{1j})\tau_\nu(v_{kj}^*))F_{k1}E = E.$$

Since  $F \geq E \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$ , we have that  $F_{k1}E = 0$  for  $k \neq 1$ . Thus it follows that for  $v \in V_\nu$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \pi(\tau_\nu(v_{1j})\tau_\nu(v_{1j}^*))E = E.$$

By Lemma 3.5 (applied to  $M_n \otimes A$  instead of  $A$ ) we choose  $\nu$  such that each  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  has a unitary  $\hat{u}' \in M_n \otimes N_\nu \oplus M_n \otimes \mathcal{B}(E_\nu \mathcal{H})$  such that

$$\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}') - \hat{u}\| \approx 0$$

as well as

$$\|\tau_\nu \sigma_\nu(\hat{u}) - \hat{u}\| \approx 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes F_0)\hat{u}'(1 \otimes F_0) &= (1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau_\nu''(\hat{u}'))(1 \otimes F_0) \\ &\approx (1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\tau_\nu(\hat{u}'))(1 \otimes F_0) \approx (1 \otimes F_0)\pi(\hat{u})(1 \otimes F_0), \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\pi(\hat{u})F \approx F\pi(\hat{u})F \approx F\hat{u}'F \approx \hat{u}'F.$$

By choosing  $\nu$  sufficiently large, we may assume that

$$\|[\hat{u}', F]\| < \epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

By taking the unitary part of the polar decomposition of  $w = F\hat{u}'F + (1 - F)\hat{u}'(1 - F)$ , we may assume that

$$[\hat{u}', F] = 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since  $\|w - \hat{u}'\| < 2\epsilon$ , we can estimate that

$$\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}') - \hat{u}\| < 3\epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since  $\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}')\tau_\nu(\hat{u}')^* - 1\| < 6\epsilon$ , we have that for any  $v \in V_\nu$ ,

$$\|\tau_\nu(\hat{u}'v) - \tau_\nu(\hat{u}')\tau_\nu(v)\| < (12\epsilon)^{1/2} < 4\epsilon^{1/2}.$$

(See the proof of 3.5.) Hence for  $v \in V_\nu$

$$\|\hat{u}\tau_\nu(v) - \tau_\nu(\hat{u}'v)\| < 3\epsilon + 4\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We choose an  $\epsilon$ -net  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $V_\nu$  consisting of  $N(V_\nu, \epsilon)$  points and set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(\tau_\nu(v_{11}), \tau_\nu(v_{12}), \dots, \tau_\nu(v_{1n})) \mid v \in \mathcal{G}'\}.$$

Since  $\hat{u}'\mathcal{G}'$  is also an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $V_\nu$  for  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ , Lemma 3.6 gives a bijection  $f$  of  $\mathcal{G}'$  onto  $\mathcal{G}'$  such that

$$\|\hat{u}'v - f(v)\| < 2\epsilon, \quad v \in \mathcal{G}'.$$

Hence for each  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a bijection  $f$  of  $\mathcal{G}'$  onto  $\mathcal{G}'$  such that

$$\|\hat{u}\tau_\nu(v) - \tau_\nu(f(v))\| < 5\epsilon + 4\epsilon^{1/2},$$

which implies that regarding  $f$  as a map of  $\mathcal{G}$  onto  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\|ux - f(x)\| < 5\epsilon + 4\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In Lemma 3.4 we could handle a mutually disjoint finite family of irreducible representations instead of just one. By doing so we can derive:

**Lemma 3.7** *Let  $A$  be a unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ ,  $\pi$  a representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \pi_i$  with  $(\pi_i)_{i=1}^k$  a mutually disjoint family of irreducible representations of  $A$ ,  $E$  a finite-dimensional projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exist an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $M_{1n}(A)$  such that  $xx^* \leq 1$  and  $\pi(xx^*)E = E$  for  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ , and for any  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a bijection  $f$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  onto  $\mathcal{G}$  with*

$$\|ux - f(x)\| < \epsilon.$$

A straightforward generalization of 3.4 would require that  $E \in \pi(A)''$  in the above statement. But, since any finite-rank projection on  $\mathcal{H}$  is dominated by such a one in  $\pi(A)''$ , we did not need it.

By having this at hand we can derive a stronger version of Lemma 2.1 and then strengthen Theorem 2.3. For example we will obtain:

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $A$  be a separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $(\varphi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  are finite sequences of pure states of  $A$  such that  $(\omega_i)$  (resp.  $(\varphi_i)$ ) are mutually disjoint and  $\ker_{\omega_i} = \ker_{\varphi_i}$  for all  $i$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$  such that  $\omega_i = \varphi_i \alpha$  for all  $i$ .*

We will have to use a general form of Kadison's transitivity for the proofs of the above results as in [17]. See Section 7 of [11] for details and for other consequences.

We do not know whether we could take an arbitrary non-degenerate representation of  $A$  for  $\pi$  in Lemma 3.7 (perhaps by weakening the requirement  $\pi(xx^*)E = E$  by  $\|\pi(xx^*)E - E\| < \epsilon$ ). If this were the case, we would obtain a new characterization of nuclearity which manifests a close connection with amenability of  $A$  (cf. [7, 12, 14]).

## References

- [1] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234.
- [2] N.P. Brown, K. Dykema, and D. Shlyakhtenko, Topological entropy of free product automorphisms, preprint.
- [3] M-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras and injectivity, Duke Math. J. 43 (1976), 309–322.
- [4] M-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras and injectivity: The general case, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), 443–446.
- [5] M-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras and the approximation property, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 61–79.
- [6] A. Connes, Almost periodic states and factors of type  $\text{III}_1$ , J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1974), 415–445.
- [7] A. Connes, On the cohomology of operator algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 28 (1978), 248–253.



- [8] E.G. Effros, On the structure theory of  $C^*$ -algebras: some old and new problems, in: Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics 38 (1982) part 1, edited by R.V. Kadison, pages 19–34.
  - [9] G.A. Elliott, Convergence of automorphisms in certain  $C^*$ -algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 11 (1972), 204–206.
  - [10] G.A. Elliott and M. Rørdam, The automorphism group of the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra, Commun. Math. Phys. 155 (1993), 3–26.
  - [11] H. Futamura, N. Kataoka, and A. Kishimoto, Homogeneity of the pure state space for separable  $C^*$ -algebras, to appear in Internat. J. Math.
  - [12] U. Haagerup, All nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras are amenable, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 305–319.
  - [13] E.C. Lance, Tensor products and nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras, in: Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics 38 (1982) part 1, edited by R.V. Kadison, pages 379–399.
  - [14] A.T. Paterson, Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras have amenable unitary groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 719–721.
  - [15] R.T. Powers, Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings, Ann. of Math. 86 (1967), 138–171.
  - [16] S. Sakai, On automorphism groups of  $II_1$ -factors, Tôhoku Math. J. 26 (1974), 423–430.
  - [17] S. Sakai,  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras, Classics in Math., Springer, 1998.
  - [18] O. Bratteli and A. Kishimoto, Homogeneity of the pure state space of the Cuntz algebra, J. Funct. Anal. 171 (2000), 331–345
- Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan 060-0810  
5-1-6-205, Odawara, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Japan 980-0003